

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,304	06/29/2001		Rod Ross	155615-0024	2393	
1622	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
IRELL & N			PANTUCK, BRADFORD C			
840 NEWPC SUITE 400	ORT CENT	TER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92660		3731		
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

هند . در د	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/895,304	ROSS ET AL.						
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Bradford C Pantuck	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment					
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 21-26.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32-44, 46.	and 47.							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As mentioned in a previous Office Action, because Claims 21 and 24 are product claims (rather than process claims), they are not construed as being limited to the product formed by the SPECIFIC PROCESS RECITED. Whether a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which it is made is patentable. That is, because U.S. Patent No. 6,540,760 to Austring discloses all of the recited structure, including a bonding "adhesive" agent, which holds the blade holder to the blade, the prior art discloses the claimed invention. Austring discloses the use of glue, and that glue can be applied through the hole or in a variety of other ways.

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2